

WRAP Exams · wrapexams.com

Prelims PYQ, Mains model answers, and copy evaluation. Write to the desk for this paper, a doubt, or the AI waitlist.

ask@wrapexams.com · +91 85951 84903 · wrapexams.com

Q15

How is the Government of India protecting traditional knowledge of medicine from patenting by pharmaceutical companies?

UPSC Civil Services Mains 2019 · GS III · 15 marks · Indian Economy

Indian Economy and issues relating to planning, mobilization of resources, growth, development and employment.

Core demand of the question

- Explain how India protects traditional medical knowledge from patents by pharmaceutical firms
- Cover **TKDL**, Patents Act exclusions, Biodiversity Act, GIs, and AYUSH
- Note turmeric, neem, and remaining gaps

Revision summary

TKDL puts classical Indian medical texts in a form patent examiners can search, so claims fail for lack of novelty.

The **Patents Act** excludes traditional knowledge as such and limits evergreening of known substances.

The **Biological Diversity Act** requires approval and benefit-sharing for many uses of Indian bio-resources and associated knowledge.

Turmeric, neem, and Basmati disputes showed why a defensive library was built.

Undocumented folk knowledge and a fair commercial share remain weaker than the patent shield.

Introduction

Indian medicine - **Ayurveda, Siddha, Unani, Yoga, folk healing** - is old public knowledge. A firm that patents a turmeric wound use or a neem extract as a "new invention" can lock others out. India fights that with a **defensive database**, with **what the Patents Act refuses to grant**, and with **biodiversity access rules**. The aim is not to stop honest research. It is to stop **biopiracy**: private monopoly over what communities already knew.

Body

Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (**TKDL**)

- **TKDL** is a joint **Council of Scientific and Industrial Research (CSIR)** and **Ministry of AYUSH** project. It transcribes formulations from classical texts into **structured, searchable, multilingual** records (including patent-examiner languages).
- India has **access agreements** with major patent offices (including the European Patent Office and others) so examiners can find **prior art** and refuse or narrow claims.
- **This is defensive publication**: the knowledge is documented so it is not "novel". It does not by itself pay the village that kept the plant.

- **Documented wins after earlier disputes:** **turmeric** (wound healing), **neem** (fungicidal properties), and **Basmati** rice lines were fought in foreign offices; **TKDL** was built so the next examiner sees the text before a grant.

Patent law inside India

- **Patents Act, 1970 (as amended): section 3(p)** excludes an invention which in effect is **traditional knowledge** or an aggregation of known properties of traditionally known components.
- **Section 3(d)** blocks evergreening of known substances unless there is a new therapeutic efficacy - relevant when a firm slightly modifies a known plant isolate.
- **Section 3(e)** and other clauses limit mere admixtures. Pre-grant and post-grant **opposition** let CSIR, AYUSH, or public-interest groups challenge a claim.
- Disclosure of **biological material** and **geographical origin** in the application supports examination.

Biodiversity and community tools

- **Biological Diversity Act, 2002:** National Biodiversity Authority and State boards regulate access to Indian biological resources and associated knowledge; **prior approval** and **benefit-sharing** are required for certain research, patents, and commercial use, especially involving foreigners or specified firms.
- **People's Biodiversity Registers** record local knowledge at panchayat scale - a grassroots prior-art layer if they are actually maintained.
- **Geographical Indications** (for example on some agricultural and traditional goods) protect names, not medical formulae, but they stop a foreign brand from capturing a place-linked product.
- **Nagoya Protocol** on access and benefit-sharing sits behind the same logic internationally.

Institutions and positive protection

- **Ministry of AYUSH**, pharmacopoeias, and research councils (CCRAS and others) standardise and clinically test, so the knowledge can be used as a **public system**, not only as a patent shield.
- **Yoga** and some well-known practices were also the target of foreign patent attempts; documentation and diplomatic protest were the response.

Limits and recommendations

- **TKDL** covers **codified classical** texts better than **undocumented tribal** medicine. Expand community registers with consent and benefit-sharing, not extraction.
- Defensive protection **stops a bad patent**; it does not create an **Indian product** or a royalty for the holder of the knowledge. Pair **TKDL** with **NBA contracts** and public-sector formulation brands.
- Watch **evergreening** of isolates and **process patents** that skirt 3(p).
- Harmonise **speed of NBA clearance** so genuine research is not driven underground while pirates still file abroad.
- Train more examiners on **TKDL** and keep the library updated in more languages and oral traditions (with ethics).

Flow diagram

Traditional medical knowledge -> TKDL prior art prior art
Traditional medical knowledge -> Patents Act 3p 3d opposition
Traditional medical knowledge -> Biodiversity Act NBA ABS
TKDL prior art -> Refuse biopiracy patent
Patents Act 3p 3d opposition -> Refuse biopiracy patent
Biodiversity Act NBA ABS -> Benefit sharing

Conclusion

India protects traditional medical knowledge mainly by **TKDL** prior art in foreign and Indian examination, by Patents Act exclusions such as **section 3(p)**, by Biodiversity Act access and benefit-sharing, and by AYUSH documentation. **Turmeric and neem** taught the cost of arriving late. The remaining gap is undocumented community knowledge and a fair share when a real product is made - not only a refused patent.

Facts & figures

TKDL

Traditional Knowledge Digital Library (CSIR and AYUSH): structured prior-art database of classical formulations for patent offices.

Section 3(p)

Patents Act clause that traditional knowledge, or a mere aggregation of known traditional properties, is not an invention.

Biological Diversity Act 2002

Regulates access to biological resources and associated knowledge; National Biodiversity Authority oversees approvals and benefit-sharing.

Turmeric and neem

Famous biopiracy contests in foreign patent offices that India fought using historical and scientific evidence.

Nagoya Protocol

International access-and-benefit-sharing treaty that supports India's biodiversity bargaining position.