Q18

'Terrorism is emerging as a competitive industry over the last few decades." Analyse the above statement.

UPSC Civil Services Mains 2016 · GS III · 12 marks · Border Security and Organised Crime

Security challenges and their management in border areas - linkages of organized crime with terrorism.

Core demand of the question

- Analyse the claim that terrorism behaves like a competitive industry
- Cover markets for recruits, money, media, and territory
- Show rivalry among groups and what that means for Indian and global response

Revision summary

Terror groups compete like firms for fighters, funds, publicity, and land.

Tactics and brand names spread because spectacular violence is rewarded by media and by rival prestige.

Outbidding and franchises can raise killings even when one group is weakened.

The metaphor is incomplete because ideology, martyrdom, and State proxies are not ordinary profit-seeking.

Response must dry money and glamour and keep local policing strong, or a rival shop opens.

Introduction

An industry sells a product, fights rivals, raises capital, and brands itself. Over recent decades some terrorist groups have done the same: they **compete** for fighters, donations, publicity, and ground. The product is **fear and a political monopoly through violence**. The statement is therefore a sharp metaphor, not a joke. It helps explain **Al-Qaeda versus later franchises**, **ISIS's** bid for a "caliphate", and smaller modules that copy tactics to stay visible.

Body

What "competitive industry" captures

- **Demand:** grievances, sectarian fear, foreign wars, and local State failure create a pool of possible recruits and sympathetic money.
- **Supply of violence:** bombs, kidnappings, and spectacular attacks are the "output"; groups copy successful tactics the way firms copy a product.
- **Competition for recruits:** a young person in a conflict zone or online can choose among brands - global jihad, ethnic insurgency, or a local gang with a political flag.
- **Competition for funds:** diaspora donations, extortion, kidnapping for ransom, narcotics, antiquities, and State sponsors are contested revenue lines. A richer group buys better weapons and media.

- **Competition for media:** television and social platforms reward the most shocking image; groups stage attacks for the camera, not only for the battlefield.
- **Franchise and brand:** a local cell may take a global name to import prestige, as in "Al-Qaeda in..." or later ISIS provinces - a licensing model.
- **Barriers to entry are low** for a small module with a phone and homemade explosive, which is why the "industry" keeps attracting start-ups even when a large group is hit.

How rivalry among groups matters

- **Outbidding:** one group kills more civilians to prove it is more "serious" than a rival, which raises the death toll without a larger political aim.
- **Splintering:** competition produces factions; peace talks with one shop do not bind the next.
- **Territory as market share:** holding a town (as ISIS did in parts of Iraq and Syria) is both revenue (tax, oil) and advertisement.
- **Innovation:** encrypted chat, drone use, and lone-wolf instructions spread because groups watch each other.
- **India's experience:** competing tanzeems, insurgent factions in the North-East, and left-wing groups have long stolen each other's thunder and turf; the metaphor is not only Middle Eastern.

Where the metaphor fails

- Firms seek profit and usually fear bankruptcy. Many terrorist actors seek **martyrdom or apocalypse**, so ordinary cost-benefit does not always restrain them.
- The "customer" of terror is often not a buyer but a **coerced public**; the State is the main counter-player, not another firm in a free market.
- **State** sponsors **distort the market:** a group may be a proxy, not an independent company.

Implications for response

- Hit **money, media, and recruitment** together, or a rival shop will take the share of the group you just banned.
- Avoid measures that **advertise** the group; over-reaction can be free publicity.
- **De-glamourise** online and in prisons so the brand loses workers.
- Because entry is easy, **police and community** at home matter as much as a foreign raid.
- Regional **intelligence fusion** is needed when groups shop for the weakest border.

Flow diagram

Grievance and fear demand -> Terror market

Terror market -> Compete for recruits money media

Compete for recruits money media -> Outbidding franchises

State sponsors -> Terror market

Finance narrative police -> Shrink the market

Conclusion

Terrorism has become competitive in recruits, money, media, and territory, which is why the industry metaphor works. Rivalry drives outbidding and franchises. The metaphor fails where martyrdom and State proxies replace profit. Policy must crowd out the market - finance, narrative, and local policing - not only smash one famous firm.

Facts & figures

Outbidding

When rival groups escalate civilian attacks to prove they are the authentic vanguard.

Franchise model

Local cells adopting a global brand for prestige, funds, and tactics, as in regional Al-Qaeda or ISIS affiliates.

Kidnapping for ransom

A revenue line in the terror "industry", competing with donations, extortion, and illicit trade.

ISIS territorial phase

Holding cities and oil as tax base and advertisement, illustrating territory as market share.

Lone-wolf instructions

Low-entry violence guided online, which keeps new "start-ups" in the market after big groups are hit.

WRAP Exams · Write. Read. Analyse. Practice. · https://wrapexams.com/upsc/mains-answers/gs-3/2016/terrorism-is-emerging-as-a-competitive-industry-over-the-last-few-decades-analyse-the-above-statement/ · ask@wrapexams.com · wrapexams.com