

WRAP Exams · wrapexams.com

Prelims PYQ, Mains model answers, and copy evaluation. Write to the desk for this paper, a doubt, or the AI waitlist.

ask@wrapexams.com · +91 85951 84903 · wrapexams.com

Q16

Has digital illiteracy, particularly in rural areas, couple with lack of Information and Communication Technology (ICT) accessibility hindered socio-economic development? Examine with justification.

UPSC Civil Services Mains 2021 · GS II · 15 marks · Governance and Policy

Government policies and interventions for development in various sectors and issues arising out of their design and implementation.

Core demand of the question

- Has digital illiteracy, particularly in rural areas, coupled with lack of ICT accessibility hindered socio-economic development? Examine with justification
- Honour the official stem. Examine rural digital illiteracy and access together
- Keep the explanation within a 15-mark length

Revision summary

Rural digital illiteracy and poor ICT access travel together and block DBT, schooling, markets and e-health.

COVID online classes and CoWIN-type portals showed the exclusion in hard outcomes, not only in survey comfort.

Women, the elderly and low-literacy groups are the worst hit, which deepens existing inequality.

PMGDISHA, CSCs and BharatNet are official admissions that the couple is a development constraint.

Offline fallbacks and women-centred access must sit beside fibre, or digital public goods will stratify the village.

Introduction

Socio-economic development in this decade runs through a phone, a bank app, a school video, and a public-service portal. Where rural citizens cannot read the screen and cannot reach a network or a cheap device, JAM, DBT, e-health and online markets arrive as exclusion. Digital illiteracy plus weak ICT access has hindered development; it is not the only hindrance, but it is a binding one.

Body

The coupled problem

- Digital illiteracy is not only inability to read; it is inability to use interfaces, OTPs, KYC, and grievance tabs in a language and script the user owns.
- ICT inaccessibility is missing fibre, patchy mobile data, unaffordable smartphones, and no public access point - BharatNet and village Wi-Fi still leave last-mile holes.

- **The two couple:** a kiosk without a literate intermediary still fails the old woman; a literate youth without spectrum still fails the market.

How development is hindered - justification

- **Financial inclusion:** Jan Dhan and DBT leak or freeze when biometrics fail and the user cannot navigate an AePS dispute; the rural poor then return to cash and the tout.
- **Education:** COVID-era online classes widened the rural-urban and girl-boy gap because devices were shared, if owned at all; learning loss is a development loss.
- **Livelihoods:** e-NAM, ONDC-type markets, and gig platforms reward the digitally fluent; the small farmer and weaver stay price-takers offline.
- **Health and welfare:** CoWIN-type registration, telemedicine, and ration e-KYC punished those without a smartphone or a helper, which is socio-economic harm in the name of efficiency.
- **Voice:** RTI, grievance portals, and panchayat disclosure are paper rights if the village cannot open the PDF.

What the data pattern shows, even without a census of clicks

- Urban, male, and high-school groups dominate internet use; rural women and the elderly lag, which maps onto wage and nutrition outcomes already stratified by gender and age.
- States with denser optical fibre, **Common Service Centres**, and local-language content show faster uptake of DBT and open-schooling tools - a natural experiment that justifies the causal claim.
- **PMGDISHA and CSC networks prove the diagnosis:** the Union itself treats literacy-plus-access as a development programme, not as a hobby class.

Caveats and the rest of the stack

- Roads, power, teachers, and land rights still bind rural development; a 5G tower on a hungry village is not a growth model.
- Digital public infrastructure (Aadhaar, UPI, ONDC) can cut costs when access exists; it magnifies exclusion when it does not - justification for treating illiteracy and access as first-order, not cosmetic.
- **Recommendation:** public access points in every panchayat, women-targeted device and tariff support, local-language interfaces, and an offline fallback for every essential welfare transfer.

Flow diagram

Rural digital illiteracy -> Cannot use DBT school health apps
 Weak Weak ICT access access -> Cannot use DBT school health apps
 Cannot use DBT school health apps -> Hindered socio-economic development
 CSC PMGDISHA BharatNet PMGDISHA BharatNet -> Literacy plus access
 Literacy plus access -> Inclusion and development

Conclusion

- **Yes:** rural digital illiteracy coupled with weak ICT access has hindered socio-economic development by blocking finance, school, markets, health and grievance. The justification is the COVID learning gap, DBT failures at the last mile, and the Union's own literacy-and-CSC architecture. Fix the couple - skills and connectivity together - and digital public goods become development; leave it, and they become a new caste line.

Facts & figures

BharatNet

National optical-fibre push to gram panchayats; last-mile quality still uneven.

PMGDISHA

Pradhan Mantri Gramin Digital Saksharta Abhiyan; official rural digital-literacy mission.

Common Service Centres

Village-level assisted access points that exist because unassisted ICT still fails.

JAM / DBT

Jan Dhan-Aadhaar-Mobile stack whose errors punish the digitally weak.

COVID e-education gap

Documented rural and gender disadvantage when school moved to the phone.

WRAP Exams · Write. Read. Analyse. Practice. · <https://wrapexams.com/upsc/mains-answers/gs-2/2021/has-digital-illiteracy-particularly-in-rural-areas-couple-with-lack-of-information-and-communication-technology-ict-accessibility-hindered-socio-economic-development-examine-with-justification/> · ask@wrapexams.com · wrapexams.com