

WRAP Exams · wrapexams.com

Prelims PYQ, Mains model answers, and copy evaluation. Write to the desk for this paper, a doubt, or the AI waitlist.

ask@wrapexams.com · +91 85951 84903 · wrapexams.com

Q11

Explain the salient features of the constitution(One Hundred and First Amendment) Act, 2016. Do you think it is efficacious enough 'to remove cascading effect of taxes and provide for common national market for goods and services'? (250 words).

UPSC Civil Services Mains 2017 · GS II · 15 marks · Indian Constitution

Indian Constitution - historical underpinnings, evolution, features, amendments, significant provisions and basic structure.

Core demand of the question

- Explain the salient features of the constitution(One Hundred and First Amendment) Act, 2016. Do you think it is efficacious enough 'to remove cascading effect of taxes and provide for common national market for goods and services'?

Revision summary

The 101st Amendment inserted **Articles 246A, 269A and 279A** for GST, IGST and the GST Council.

Dual GST with input-tax credit is the tool against cascading.

A common market needs destination-based IGST and a single compliance spine.

Petroleum, alcohol, multiple slabs and inverted duty keep some cascade and some borders.

The Amendment is legally sufficient; working efficacy is still partial.

Introduction

The Constitution (One Hundred and First Amendment) Act, 2016 inserted a goods and services tax into the federal bargain so that a chain of Union and State levies could become one destination-based tax. Cascading means tax on tax when credit is denied. A common national market means a trader can move goods and services across State borders without a fresh fiscal wall at each check-post. The Amendment is the legal engine; efficacy is whether credit, rates and the GST Council actually deliver that promise.

Body**Salient features of the 101st Amendment**

- It inserted **Article 246A**, which gives Parliament and the State legislatures concurrent power to make laws on GST, while exclusive power over inter-State GST remains with Parliament.
- **Article 269A** provides for levy and collection of integrated GST on inter-State supplies, with apportionment between the Union and the States on GST Council recommendations.
- **Article 279A** constitutes the GST Council, chaired by the Union Finance Minister, with State finance ministers as members, to recommend rates, exemptions, model laws, and the principles of supply and place of supply.

- Council decisions need a three-fourths weighted majority of members present and voting, with one-third weight for the Union and two-thirds for the States taken together, which is cooperative federalism written as a voting rule.
- **The Seventh Schedule was recast:** Union and State entries on excise and sales tax were narrowed so that GST could occupy the field, while alcoholic liquor for human consumption stayed with the States, and specified petroleum products, electricity and a few other items were kept outside GST until the Council recommends otherwise.
- **Article 366** was amended to define GST, and the older service-tax **Article 268A** was omitted. Compensation to States for revenue loss for a transition period was written into the Amendment's statement of objects and later into the **GST (Compensation to States) Act, 2017**.
- **Dual GST is the working form:** Central GST and State GST on intra-State supplies, and IGST on inter-State supplies and imports, with input-tax credit intended to flow along the chain.

Removing the cascading effect

- **Cascading fell where the credit chain is complete:** a manufacturer or trader can set off GST paid on inputs against GST on output, which the old Central Sales Tax and many cascading State levies did not allow across the full chain.
- Efficacy is incomplete while petrol, diesel, natural gas, aviation turbine fuel, crude oil and electricity remain outside GST, because freight and factory costs still carry a buried tax that cannot be credited.
- Multiple rate slabs, cess on luxury and sin goods, and inverted duty structures (higher tax on inputs than on output) recreate pockets of unusable credit, which is cascading by another name.
- E-way bills, invoice matching and anti-profiteering were meant to police the credit trail; they reduce evasion but also raise compliance cost for small firms, so some cascading is replaced by a paperwork tax.

A common national market

- Octroi-style check-posts and the Central Sales Tax origin bias were the old map of a fragmented market. Destination-based GST plus IGST is designed as one market with a credit trail across State lines.
- The GST Network, a common registration and return spine, and the Council's power to harmonise rates, are the institutional market, not only the tax name.
- **The market is still not fully common:** State compensation politics, rate wars through exemptions, and delayed refunds on exports and inverted duty break the promise of frictionless trade.
- Alcohol and petroleum staying out means two large consumption goods still face State-wise fiscal borders.

Is it efficacious enough?

- **As a constitutional design, yes:** 246A, 269A and 279A are enough to kill the old cascade and to build a national market if credit is universal and rates are few.
- **As a working tax in 2017 and after, only partly:** the Amendment opened the door; exclusions, slabs and federal bargaining keep some cascade and some borders alive.
- Efficacy will rise when petroleum and electricity enter GST, refunds are timely, and the Council treats one-rate simplicity as a market good, not only as a revenue good.

Flow diagram

101st Amendment 2016 -> Art 246A dual GST
101st Amendment 2016 -> Art 269A IGST
101st Amendment 2016 -> Art 279A GST Council
Art 246A dual GST -> Input tax credit
Art 269A IGST -> Destination based trade
Input tax credit -> Less cascading
Destination based trade -> Common national market

Conclusion

The 101st Amendment's salient features are concurrent GST power, IGST, the GST Council, a recast **Seventh Schedule**, and a dual destination-based tax with credit. That design is efficacious enough in law to remove cascading and to found a common national market. It is not yet efficacious enough in practice while petroleum, alcohol, inverted duties and multi-slab politics keep tax-on-tax and State-wise friction alive.

Facts & figures

Article 246A

Concurrent power of Parliament and States to levy GST; Parliament exclusive on inter-State GST.

Article 279A

GST Council: Union Finance Minister as Chairperson; weighted voting one-third Union, two-thirds States.

Article 269A

Levy and collection of IGST on inter-State supplies, shared on Council recommendations.

GST (Compensation to States) Act, 2017

Five-year compensation for revenue loss, funded by a compensation cess, to buy State consent for the new bargain.

WRAP Exams · Write. Read. Analyse. Practice. · <https://wrapexams.com/upsc/mains-answers/gs-2/2017/explain-the-salient-features-of-the-constitution-one-hundred-and-first-amendment-act-2016-do-you-think-it-is-efficacious-enough-to-remove-cascading-effect-of-taxes-and-provide-for-common-national-market-for-goods-and/> · ask@wrapexams.com · wrapexams.com