

WRAP Exams · wrapexams.com

Prelims PYQ, Mains model answers, and copy evaluation. Write to the desk for this paper, a doubt, or the AI waitlist.

ask@wrapexams.com · +91 85951 84903 · wrapexams.com

Q1(c)

Critical perspective on avoidance and joking relationship

UPSC Civil Services Mains 2024 · Anthropology GS 1 · 10 marks · The Nature of Culture

2.1 The Nature of Culture: The concept and Characteristics of culture and civilization; Ethnocentrism vis-a-vis cultural Relativism.

Core demand of the question

- Define avoidance and joking relationships in kinship
- Explain their structural and social functions with examples
- Present the classic theoretical interpretation
- Critically examine gender, power, history and variation

Revision summary

Avoidance prescribes reserve; joking licenses teasing between named kin categories.

Radcliffe-Brown read both as complementary ways of regulating conjunction and separation.

Mother-in-law/son-in-law avoidance and cross-cousin or junior-affine joking are common patterns.

Symmetrical joking permits reciprocity; asymmetrical joking protects one-sided licence.

Gluckman highlights ritualised expression of contradiction.

Critical analysis asks whether joking reproduces gendered power and whether rules match actual conduct.

Introduction

Avoidance and joking are patterned ways of regulating potentially tense kin relations. Avoidance prescribes distance, reserve or non-address; joking licenses familiarity, teasing or symbolic insult. **A. R. Radcliffe-Brown** treated them as complementary devices through which kinship manages conjunction and separation.

Body

Forms and functions

Avoidance commonly marks relations where intimacy could threaten hierarchy or sexual propriety: son-in-law and mother-in-law, daughter-in-law and father-in-law, or siblings after puberty. It may regulate eye contact, speech, names, food sharing or physical proximity.

Joking relationships permit mockery and familiarity between specified categories-often cross-cousins, grandparents and grandchildren, or affinal kin such as a man and his wife's younger siblings. In parts of India, **devar-bhabhi** and **jija-sali** joking contrasts with respect or avoidance toward senior affines.

Radcliffe-Brown distinguished **symmetrical joking**, where both parties tease, from asymmetrical joking, where one may mock and the other must not take offence. His African

material showed joking alliances extending beyond families to clans or peoples. The institutions:

- dissipate hostility where marriage creates competing loyalties;
- mark generation and rank;
- permit criticism in a protected idiom;
- keep affinal groups connected without collapsing boundaries.

Critical perspective

The functionalist account can make every joke socially useful and every silence harmonious. **Max Gluckman's** idea of ritualised rebellion better captures licensed disrespect that expresses contradiction without necessarily changing structure. Feminist analysis asks who is compelled to endure the joke: sexual teasing may reproduce male privilege rather than release equal tension.

Actual conduct varies by personality, migration, household form and media. A prescribed avoidance term does not prove everyday distance, and jocularity can become harassment. Alliance, descent and residence explain the relation more fully than "tension reduction" alone. Comparison must therefore join the rule to observed interaction and local meanings.

Flow diagram

Potentially tense kin tie -> Avoidance: respect and distance
Potentially tense kin tie -> Joking: licensed familiarity
Avoidance: respect and distance -> Maintains boundary
Joking: licensed familiarity -> Expresses solidarity or criticism
Maintains boundary -> Read through gender and power
Expresses solidarity or criticism -> Read through gender and power

Conclusion

Avoidance creates disciplined distance; joking creates licensed proximity. Their classic value is the management of affinal and generational ambiguity. A critical reading adds power, gender and practice, asking whose tension is relieved and whose speech is constrained.

Facts & figures

Radcliffe-Brown

His classic essays interpreted joking and avoidance as structural mechanisms that combine attachment with separation in kin relations.

Symmetrical joking

Both categories may tease each other; in an asymmetrical relation one party has licensed disrespect while the other accepts it.

Affinal tension

Marriage joins groups while preserving distinct interests. Avoidance and joking can regulate this simultaneously close and competitive relation.

Indian illustration

Devar-bhabhi or jija-sali familiarity often contrasts with respect toward senior affines, though caste, region and household practice vary.

Critical test

The stated norm must be compared with observed interaction; a joke may communicate solidarity, criticism, domination or harassment.

WRAP Exams · Write. Read. Analyse. Practice. · <https://wrapexams.com/upsc/mains-answers/anthropology-gs-1/2024/critical-perspective-on-avoidance-and-joking-relationship/> · ask@wrapexams.com · wrapexams.com